

A record of the actions to adopt the above recommendations is recorded below:

1. A motion by Dr. Grosslight; second by Dr. Minnick; unanimous vote.
2. A motion by Dr. Minnick; a second by Dr. Johnsen; 10 affirmative votes and one abstention.
3. A motion by Dr. Minnick; a second by Dr. Johnsen; unanimous vote.
4. A motion by Dr. Alley; a second by Dr. Ivan Johnson; unanimous vote.
5. A motion by Dr. Grosslight; a second by Dr. Minnick; 10 affirmative votes and one abstention.
6. A motion by Dr. Grosslight; a second by Dr. Sumners; unanimous vote.
7. A motion by Dr. Grosslight; a second; unanimous vote.
8. and 9. A motion by Dr. Walborsky; second by Dr. Sumners; 10 affirmative votes with one abstention.
10. A motion by Dr. Walborsky; a second by Dr. Grosslight; unanimous vote.

There was a motion by Dr. Grosslight to adopt Recommendation #4 on page 20 of the report but the motion died for lack of a second.

Item # 117 and related Item # 105: consideration of addition of the following procedural information to the format of the report for reviews

II.F. When reviewing the program, the review committee shall determine whether "at the end of five years [that is, five years after receiving doctoral directive status] a faculty member's . . . teaching and research has continued to be of at least the quality that justified the original appointment" to doctoral directive status. After such determination, the review committee shall make a recommendation that each faculty member in the program either retain doctoral directive status or be reconsidered by the college committee for such status through the procedures used in the original appointment.

Graduate Policy Committee Meeting

April 14, 1980

Page 4

Upon a motion by Dr. Grosslight, a second by Dr. Ivan Johnson, and 10 affirmative votes with one abstention, the Graduate Policy Committee adopted the procedure. The Chairman of the Graduate Policy Committee asked that the Committees be informed of the procedure.

Item # 128: Consideration of the policy concerning joint authorship of a thesis or dissertation.

Because graduate work represents individual research Dr. Walborsky moved, and the motion was seconded, that joint authorship of a thesis or dissertation be prohibited. The motion passed with 10 affirmative votes and one abstention.

Item # 127: Evaluation of the implementation of the recommendations pursuant to the review of master's programs

The Graduate Policy Committee voted unanimously to make the same evaluations of the implementation of its recommendations for the master's programs as were made for the doctoral programs. The Graduate Office was directed to seek the necessary information from the programs.

*mailed 5/1/80*